

Trinomials and exponential Diophantine equations Liu, S.

Citation

Liu, S. (2008). Trinomials and exponential Diophantine equations.

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License to inclusion and publication of a Bachelor or Master thesis in

the Leiden University Student Repository

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3597500

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Shoumin Liu

Trinomials and exponential Diophantine equations

Master thesis, defended on May 7, 2008

Thesis advisor: Hendrik Lenstra



Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Leiden

Contents

Abstract		
7	Application to Selmer's trinomial 7.1 A new version for the irreducibility of Selmer's trinomial 7.2 Application	
6	Case of some trinomials and induced Diophantine equations	10
5	Cyclicity and non-square discriminants for polynomials	8
4	Main theorem	7
3	Cyclicity and extension over rational numbers with symmetric groups	5
2	Minkowski's theorem	4
1	Cyclicity and discriminant	3
U	Introduction	Т

Suppose A is an order of some number field K. In this thesis, we will present some results related to the Galois group and the discriminant under some special condition on A. We apply this to some $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f')$ cyclic. By studying the trinomial $f = x^n + ax^l + b$, we solve some exponential Diophantine equations. At last, Selmer's trinomial is used to illustrate our main theorem.

0 Introduction

Definition 0.1. Let K be a number field. Let \mathcal{O} be its ring of integers. An order of K is a subring $A \subset \mathcal{O}$ of finite index. The ring \mathcal{O} is the maximal order of K.

Definition 0.2. Let K be a field, and \overline{K} be its algebraic closure. Suppose $f = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in K[x], \ a_n \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_i, \ i = 1, 2 \dots, n \text{ be } f$'s roots in \overline{K} with multiplicities. Then the discriminant of f is $a_n^{2n-2} \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$, denoted as $\Delta(f)$.

In this thesis, let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C} . Our main aim is to present the following theorem.

Theorem 0.3. (Main theorem) Suppose K is an extension over \mathbb{Q} of finite degree n. Let L, \mathcal{O} , Δ respectively be its normal closure in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, its ring of integers, and its discriminant over \mathbb{Q} . Let A be an order of K, and $A^{\dagger} = \{x \in K | \operatorname{Tr}(xA) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$, where Tr is the trace from K to \mathbb{Q} . Suppose A^{\dagger}/A is a cyclic abelian group. Then we have the following conclusions.

- (1) $Gal(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_n$, where S_n is n-th symmetric group.
- (2) Suppose $\#(A^{\dagger}/A) = m^2d$, $m, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and d square free. Then $|\Delta| = d$, and d is odd,
- (3) $\#(A^{\dagger}/A)$ is odd.

Using the theorem, we get a result for discriminants of some special polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, which is as follows.

Theorem 0.4. Let f be a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Suppose $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f, f')$ is a cyclic abelian group, and deg f > 2. Then $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f, f')$ is finite, and $|\Delta(f)|$ is odd and not a square.

Inspired by [OSA] and [YAM], we study trinomial $f = x^n + ax^l + b$, and get the following conclusion.

Theorem 0.5. Suppose $f(x) = x^n + ax^l + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, with n > l > 0, $n \ge 3$, and $ab \ne 0$. Then the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f')$ is cyclic if and only if both

- (1) and (2) hold:
- (1) |b| = 1 or $l \le 2$,
- (2) (al(n-l), nb) = 1.

Using the above two theorems, we get results about certain exponential Diophantine equations.

Theorem 0.6. The equation

$$(X^X W^{X-1} + (1-X)^{X-1} Z^X)^2 = Y^4,$$

has no solution $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $X \geq 3$, ((X - 1)Z, XW) = 1.

Theorem 0.7. The equation

$$(\pm X^X V^{2(X-2)} + 4(X-2)^{X-2} Z^X)^2 = Y^4.$$

has no solution for $X, Y, Z, V \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $X \geq 3$, (2(X-2)Z, XV) = 1.

Theorem 0.8. The equation

$$((X+W)^{X+W} - (ZX)^X (\pm ZW)^W)^2 = Y^4,$$

has no solution for X, Y, Z, $W \in \mathbb{Z}$ with X > 0, W > 0, XWZ, X + W = 1.

Finally we will study Selmer's trinomials, which yield a good example of our main theorem. They have the following property.

Theorem 0.9. ([JPS]) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, let α be a root of the polynomial $f_n = x^n - x - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Suppose L is the normal closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ in \mathbb{Q} . Then $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_n$.

1 Cyclicity and discriminant

Definition 1.1. Let L/K be a finite separable field extension, let \mathcal{O}_K be a Dedekind domain with K as field of fractions, and let \mathcal{O}_L be the integral closure of \mathcal{O}_K in L. The fractional \mathcal{O}_L ideal

$$\mathfrak{C} = \{ x \in L | \operatorname{Tr}(x\mathcal{O}_L) \subset \mathcal{O}_K \}$$

is called Dedekind's complementary module, or the inverse different. Its inverse

$$\mathfrak{D}_{L/K} = \mathfrak{C}^{-1}$$

is called the different of L over K.

Theorem 1.2. Let L be a finite extension of a number field K. Suppose \mathfrak{p}_L is a finite prime of L, and $\mathfrak{p}_K = \mathfrak{p}_L \cap K$. Let e be the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K$. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_{I}}(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}) = e - 1 + u$$

with u = 0 if $\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K$ is tamely ramified and $u \ge 1$ if $\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K$ is wildly ramified, and we have $u \le \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_L}(e)$.

Proof. See [PSH2], page 36, Theorem 4.9.

Corollary 1.3. Suppose K is a number field and each $p|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}]$ is tamely ramified. Then the abelian group $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ ($\mathfrak{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the different) has a square free exponent.

Proof. By the above theorem, it follows that $\mathfrak{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = \prod \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}/p}-1}$, where \mathfrak{p} ranges over all finite ramifying primes of K over \mathbb{Q} and $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p$, with $e_{\mathfrak{p}/p}$ as the ramification index of \mathfrak{p}/p . By Chinese Remainder Theorem, we get

$$\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \prod \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}/p}-1},$$

where \mathfrak{p} ranges over all finite ramifying primes of K over \mathbb{Q} . Because $\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}/p}} \supset p\mathcal{O}_K$, easily we can check that the number $T = \prod p$, where p ranges over all finite ramified primes of \mathbb{Z} is an exponent of $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose K is an extension over \mathbb{Q} of finite degree. Let \mathcal{O} , Δ respectively be its ring of integers, its discriminant over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} = \{x \in K | \operatorname{Tr}(x\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}$ is a cyclic abelian group, then $\#(\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}) = |\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}|$ is square free and odd.

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{p} is a nonzero prime ideal of \mathcal{O} , and wildly ramifying over \mathbb{Q} , with ramification index e > 1 and residue index f over the prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, and \mathfrak{D} is the different of \mathcal{O} . Because $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}$ is cyclic, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{D} is cyclic. By Theorem 1.2, we have a surjective morphism

$$\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{D} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^e$$

with the right side of cardinality $p^{ef} > p$ and annihilated by p, which contradicts that \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{D} is cyclic. So all the ramifying primes of K over \mathbb{Q} are tame. So by Corollary 1.3, we can see that $\#(\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}) = |\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}|$ is square free.

By Stickelbergler's discriminant relation, it is known that

$$\#(\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}) \equiv 0$$
, or $\pm 1 \mod 4$,

and is square free, thus we can see the number $\#(\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}) = |\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}|$ is odd. \square

2 Minkowski's theorem

Theorem 2.1. (Minkowski) Let K be a number field over \mathbb{Q} of degree n, and let $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ be the discriminant of K over \mathbb{Q} . Suppose $|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}| = 1$. Then $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. From [PSH] (corollary 5.10, Page 54) we know

$$|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}| \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2s} \frac{n^{2n}}{n!^2} \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^n \frac{n^{2n}}{n!^2} = b_n.$$

In this inequality, s is the number of complex embeddings of K modulo complex conjugation. We observe that $b_{n+1}/b_n = \frac{\pi}{4}(1+\frac{1}{n})^{2n} \geq \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot 4 = \pi$, so b_n strictly increases with n. We know $b_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4} > 1$, so $K = \mathbb{Q}$ when $|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}| = 1$.

Theorem 2.2. A rational prime p ramifies in the ring of integer \mathcal{O}_K of K if and only if it divides the discriminant $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. Using Theorem 1.2. \Box

Definition 2.3. Suppose L, K are number fields, whose rings of integers are $\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_K$ respectively, and L/K is Galois with $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$. Let \mathfrak{p}_L be a maximal ideal in \mathcal{O}_L , and $\mathfrak{p}_K = \mathfrak{p}_L \cap \mathcal{O}_K$. The decomposition group $G_{\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K}$

consists of those elements $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma \mathfrak{p}_L = \mathfrak{p}_L$. To each $\sigma \in G_{\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K}$, we can associate an automorphism $\overline{\sigma}$ of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_K$, and the map given by

$$\sigma \to \overline{\sigma}$$

induces a morphism of $G_{\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K}$ to $\operatorname{Gal}((\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p}_L)/(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_K))$. The kernel of this morphism is called the inertia group of $\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K$, which is denoted as $I_{\mathfrak{p}_L/\mathfrak{p}_K}$.

Proposition 2.4. If L/\mathbb{Q} is finite and Galois, then $Gal(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the collection of all inertia groups $I_{\mathfrak{p}/p}$ with \mathfrak{p} ranging over the set of finite primes of L.

Proof. Let \mathcal{O}_L be the ring of integers of L. Let G be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ which is generated by the inertia groups of all finite \mathfrak{p} . Suppose $k = L^G$ and \mathcal{O}_k is the ring of integers of k. Let \mathfrak{p} be a nonzero prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_L , suppose $\mathfrak{p} \cap k = \mathfrak{p}_1$, and $\mathfrak{p} \cap L^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mathfrak{p}_2$, we can see that $k = L^G \subset L^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ because $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a subgroup of G. We have the equality $e_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_2} = e_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}} = e_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_2} e_{\mathfrak{p}_1/\mathfrak{p}_2} e_{\mathfrak{p}_1/\mathfrak{p}}$, thus $e_{\mathfrak{p}_1/\mathfrak{p}} = 1$. Therefore there is no ramification in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathbb{Z} . By Theorem 2.2, we get $|\Delta_{k/\mathbb{Q}}| = 1$. Finally by Theorem 2.1 we get $k = \mathbb{Q}$. Hence $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

3 Cyclicity and extension over rational numbers with symmetric groups

Theorem 3.1. Suppose G is a subgroup of S_n . Suppose G is transitive and generated by a collection of 2-cycles. Then $G = S_n$.

Proof. Define $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. For $i, j \in [n]$, we define $i \sim j$ if and only if the transposition $(ij) \in G$ or i = j. Easily we can check it is an equivalence relation. Suppose $H = \{\sigma \in G | \text{ for all } i \in [n], \sigma i \sim i\}$. Thus H is a subgroup of G containing all transpositions in G, which implies H = G. So for all $\sigma \in G$, for all $i \in [n]$, one has $\sigma i \sim i$. Because G is transitive, we have for all $i, j \in [n]$, $i \sim j$, so $(ij) \in G$, which tells us $G = S_n$.

- **Theorem 3.2.** Let L be a finite field extension of a number field K, M the normal closure of L over K, and \mathfrak{p} a finite prime of K, we set $G = \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$ and $H = \operatorname{Gal}(M/L) \subset G$, and let G act in natural way on the set Ω of left cosets of H in G. Suppose we are given integers e_i , f_i for i = 1, 2, ..., t. Then the following two statements are equivalent.
- (1) the prime \mathfrak{p} has t distinct extensions $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_t$ to L with ramification indices $e(\mathfrak{q}_i/\mathfrak{p}) = e_i$ and residue class field degrees $f(\mathfrak{q}_i/\mathfrak{p}) = f_i$;
- (2) for every decomposition group $G_{\mathfrak{P}} \subset G$ of a prime \mathfrak{P} above \mathfrak{p} in M/K, there are t distinct $G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbits $\Omega_i \subset \Omega$ of length $\#\Omega_i = e_i f_i$ such that under

the action of the inertia group $I_{\mathfrak{P}} \subset G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ on Ω_i , there are f_i orbits of length e_i each.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of M over \mathfrak{p} with restriction \mathfrak{q} to L, and write $\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for the $G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbit of the coset $H \in \Omega$. The length of this orbit is $[G_{\mathfrak{P}} : G_{\mathfrak{P}} \cap H]$, and this is equal to $[L_{\mathfrak{q}} : K_{\mathfrak{p}}] = e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})f(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$ since we have a tower of complete extensions

$$M_{\mathfrak{P}}\supset L_{\mathfrak{q}}\supset K_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

in which $\operatorname{Gal}(M_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}) = G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ contains a subgroup $H_{\mathfrak{P}} = H \cap G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ corresponding to $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$. An arbitrary $G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbit in Ω , say of the residue class gH, can be written as

$$G_{\mathfrak{P}} \cdot gH = g \cdot G_{q^{-1}\mathfrak{P}}H = g \cdot \Omega_{q^{-1}\mathfrak{P}},$$

so the length of such an orbit equals $e(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p})f(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p})$ with \mathfrak{q}' the restriction of $g^{-1}\mathfrak{P}$ to L. We do obtain a bijection between \mathfrak{p} to L and $G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbits in Ω : $g_1^{-1}\mathfrak{P} \cap L = g_2^{-1}\mathfrak{P} \cap L \iff \exists h \in H : hg_1^{-1}\mathfrak{P} = g_2^{-1}\mathfrak{P} \iff \exists h \in H : g_2hg_1^{-1} \in G_{\mathfrak{P}} \iff \exists h \in H : G_{\mathfrak{P}} \cdot g_2h = G_{\mathfrak{P}} \cdot g_1 \iff G_{\mathfrak{P}} \cdot g_2H = G_{\mathfrak{P}} \cdot g_1H.$

The inertia group $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of \mathfrak{P} is a normal subgroup of $G_{\mathfrak{P}}$, so all $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbits inside a single $G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbit have the same length. Inside the orbit $\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$ this length is equal to the group index $[I_{\mathfrak{P}}:I_{\mathfrak{P}}\cap H]=[I_{\mathfrak{P}}:I_{\mathfrak{P}}\cap H_{\mathfrak{P}}]=[I_{\mathfrak{P}}H_{\mathfrak{P}}:I_{\mathfrak{P}}]$. In the extension $M_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, this corresponds to a subextension $L_{\mathfrak{q}}/T_{\mathfrak{q}}$, with $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the inertia field of \mathfrak{q} in $L_{\mathfrak{q}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that the length of the $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbits in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is $[L_{\mathfrak{q}}:T_{\mathfrak{q}}]=e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$ as asserted. The identity $I_{\mathfrak{P}}\cdot gH=g\cdot I_{g^{-1}\mathfrak{P}}H$ now shows that the length of the $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbits in $G_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -orbit corresponding to a prime \mathfrak{q}' of L equals to $e(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p})$.

Corollary 3.3. Keep the notation in the above theorem. We suppose that $\{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}|e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})>1\}=\{\mathfrak{q}'\}$, where \mathfrak{p} is any ramified finite prime of \mathcal{O}_K and \mathfrak{q}' is a finite prime of \mathcal{O}_L . If the unique \mathfrak{q}' that ramifies over \mathfrak{p} satisfies $e(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p})=2$, $f(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p})=1$, then $I_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$ acts as a 2-cycle, where \mathfrak{P} is a prime of O_M and $\mathfrak{P}\cap L=\mathfrak{q}'$.

Proof. Apply the above theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose K is an extension over \mathbb{Q} of finite degree n. Let L, \mathcal{O} , Δ respectively be its normal closure in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, its ring of integers, its discriminant over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} = \{x \in K | \operatorname{Tr}(x\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}$ is cyclic as an abelian group, then $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_n$.

Proof. Thus by Theorem 1.4, it follows $\#(\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O})$ is square free, and we know that

$$\mathcal{O}^\dagger/\mathcal{O}\cong\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\cong\prod\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}/p}-1+u_{\mathfrak{p}/p}},$$

where \mathfrak{p} ranges over all finite ramifying primes of K over \mathbb{Q} . Because $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}$ is cyclic, if a rational prime p has ramification in K, then there exists exactly

one prime in K that ramifies over p and it follows that $e(\mathfrak{p}/p) = 2$ and $f(\mathfrak{p}/p) = 1$ for all ramifying \mathfrak{p} over p. Because L is a normal closure of an extension of \mathbb{Q} of degree n, the group $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ can be considered as a subgroup of S_n , and acts transitively on Ω (using L, $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_n)$, \mathbb{Q} to replace M, L, K in Theorem 3.1). By Theorem 2.4 we know $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by all inertia groups. Using corollary 3.3, we know each inertia group is a 2-cycle or trivial. Finally through Theorem 3.1, the proof is concluded. \square

4 Main theorem

Theorem 4.1. (Main theorem) Suppose K is an extension over \mathbb{Q} of finite degree n. Let L, \mathcal{O} , $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ respectively be its normal closure in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, its ring of integers, and its discriminant over \mathbb{Q} . Let A be an order of K, and $A^{\dagger} = \{x \in K | \operatorname{Tr}(xA) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$, where Tr is the trace from K to \mathbb{Q} . If A^{\dagger}/A is cyclic, we have the following conclusions

- (1) $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_n$,
- (2) Suppose $\#(A^{\dagger}/A) = m^2d$, $m, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and d square free. Then $|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}| = d$, and d is odd,
- (3) $\#(A^{\dagger}/A)$ is odd.

Proof. Because A^{\dagger}/A is cyclic, and $A \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \subset A^{\dagger}$, then $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}$ is cyclic. By Theorem 3.4, we get (1) is true. By duality, we have

$$\mathcal{O}/A \simeq A^{\dagger}/\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}$$
.

By Theorem 1.4, we can see (2) is true.

Suppose $\#(A^{\dagger}/A)$ is even. Because $\#(A^{\dagger}/A) = \#(\mathcal{O}/A)^2 |\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}|$, the number $\#(\mathcal{O}/A)$ is even. Let $A' = A + 2\mathcal{O}$ which has index 2 in \mathcal{O} . Suppose $\mathfrak{f} = \{x \in K | x\mathcal{O} \subset A'\}$ is the conductor of A'. Then we have inclusions

$$f \subset A' \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \subset A'^{\dagger}$$
.

Because f is the kernel of the natural surjective morphism

$$A^{'} woheadrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}/A^{'}, \mathcal{O}/A^{'}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2},$$

then we have

$$2\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{f}, A'/\mathfrak{f} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2, \, \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{f}) = 4.$$

Suppose $(2) = \mathfrak{fg}$, where \mathfrak{g} is an ideal of \mathcal{O} . By (2), we know 2 is unramified in \mathcal{O} . Therefore we have $(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g}) = 1$. So we get

$$A^{'}/2\mathcal{O}\subset\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}\cong\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{f} imes\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{g}$$

Suppose $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$ and

$$\beta \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{f}, \ \beta \equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{g}.$$

At the same time we can get

$$\overline{A'} = A'/2\mathcal{O} \cong \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{g}$$

We can see that $\overline{A'} \cdot \overline{\beta} = \{0, \overline{\beta}\}$. Suppose

$$\operatorname{Tr}: \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

which is the natural morphism induced by trace from K to \mathbb{Q} . Then $\text{Tr}(\overline{\beta}) = 0$. Therefore it follows that $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(A'\beta) \subset 2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\frac{\beta}{2} \in A'^{\dagger}$ and $\frac{\beta}{2} \notin \mathcal{O}$. Hence we have $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O} + \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \subset A'^{\dagger}$ and $\beta \in A'$. We can see that $A' \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O} + \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$, and $(\mathcal{O} + \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{\beta}{2}})/A'$ is cyclic of order 4, which contradicts that it is annihilated by 2. Hence $\#(A^{\dagger}/A)$ is odd.

Corollary 4.2. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be irreducible, and of degree n > 1. Suppose $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f')$ is cyclic, and $\#(\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f')) = m^2d$, where $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, and d is square free. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where α is a root of f, and L be the normal closure of K. Then

- (1) $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = S_n$
- (2) $|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}| = d$, and d is odd.
- (3) m is odd. In particular, $\#(\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f'))$ is odd and not a square.

Proof. It is known that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{f'(\alpha)}\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, so $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]^{\dagger}/\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f, f')$ which is cyclic. Then by the above theorem and Minkowski's Theorem, we obtain the corollary.

5 Cyclicity and non-square discriminants for polynomials

Theorem 5.1. Let f be a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Suppose $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f, f')$ is a cyclic abelian group, and deg f > 2. Then $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f, f')$ is finite, and $|\Delta(f)|$ is odd and not a square.

Proof. If $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f')$ is infinite, then under an isomorphism φ , we have $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f') \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\varphi(x) = a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(x-a)^2|f$. So we can construct a natural surjective morphism $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f') \to \mathbb{F}_2[x]/((x-a)^2)$. But the right side is not cyclic, so we can conclude that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f')$ is finite.

If f is irreducible, then by corollary 4.2, the theorem is correct. Suppose f is not irreducible, then there exists a nonconstant polynomial $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$,

monic and irreducible, such that f = gh, with $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\deg h > 0$. Thus we see that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f') \to \mathbb{Z}[x]/(g,g')$, which implies that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(g,g')$ is cyclic. Suppose p is a prime number in \mathbb{Z} and $p|(\Delta(g),\Delta(h))$. Suppose \overline{g} , $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and

$$\overline{g} = (g \bmod p);$$

$$\overline{h} = (h \bmod p).$$

Therefore there exist nonconstant $G, H \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that $G^2|\overline{g}, H^2|\overline{h}$. Thus we can find a surjective morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f') \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(GH),$$

and the right side is not cyclic, a contradiction. Hence $(\Delta(h), \Delta(g)) = 1$. We have

$$\Delta(f) = \Delta(h)\Delta(g)R(g,h)^2,$$

where R(g,h) is the resultant of g and h. If $f = \prod_{i=1}^t g_i$, and $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ monic and irreducible, then by induction, we will get

$$\Delta(f) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} \Delta(g_i)\right) \cdot m^2$$

and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, with

$$(\Delta(g_i), \Delta(g_j)) = 1, \ 1 \le i \ne j \le t.$$

If $|\Delta(f)|$ is a square, by corollary 4.2, we can see each g_i is linear and monic. Because deg f > 2, which means f has at least 3 linear factors, we suppose they are x - a, x - b, and $x - c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose

$$a \equiv b \mod 2$$
,

so in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, $(x-a)^2|f$ and $(x-a)^2|f'$, then there is a morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f') \to \mathbb{F}_2[x]/((x-a)^2).$$

This is a contradiction because the right side is not cyclic. Finally we can say that $\Delta(f)$ is not a square.

If $2|\Delta(f)$, then f is not separable in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, we can use the above method to get a contradiction. So $\Delta(f)$ is odd.

6 Case of some trinomials and induced Diophantine equations

For the polynomial $f(x) = x^n + ax^l + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, with n > l > 0, $n \ge 3$, and $ab \ne 0$, we give a criterion to judge whether $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f, f')$ is cyclic.

Here we suppose that $\mathbb{Z}_{(n)} = \mathbb{Z}[1/n]$, where $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

When $|b| \neq 1$ and $l \geq 3$, if prime p|b, we will have a morphism $R \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^2)$ as abelian group, with the right side is not cyclic. So we separate the rest of trinomials of this form into 3 cases.

Case 1 : l = 1. Now we have

$$f = x^{n} + ax + b$$
, $f' = nx^{n-1} + a$.

- (1) If there exists a prime p|(a,n), it follows that $R \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^n+b)$, and the right side is not cyclic. So (a,n)=1.
- (2) If there exists a prime p|(a,b), because (a,n)=1, we will see a morphism

$$R \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^n, nx^{n-1}) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^{n-1})$$

with the right side is not cyclic. So (a, b) = 1.

(3) If there exists a prime $\underline{p}|(n-1,b)$, then there is a morphism $\underline{R} \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(\overline{f},\overline{f'})$. Because $n\overline{f} = x\overline{f'}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$, and $p \nmid n$, then $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(\overline{f},\overline{f'}) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(\overline{f'})$, which is not cyclic. So (n-1,b) = 1.

So (1), (2), (3) is equivalent to that (a(n-1), bn) = 1.

Conversely, suppose (a(n-1), bn) = 1. Because nf - xf' = a(n-1)x + bn, then we have

$$R \simeq \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f, f', a(n-1)x+bn) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(a(n-1))}/(f(-bn/a(n-1)), f'(-bn/a(n-1)))$$

with $x = \frac{-bn}{a(n-1)}$. We get the right side is a cyclic abelian group, because (f(-bn/a(n-1)), f'(-bn/a(n-1))) is not trivial in $\mathbb{Z}_{(a(n-1))}$ as a result of $n\left(\frac{-bn}{a(n-1)}\right)^{n-1} \neq a$.

Case 2: l=2, then

$$f = x^{n} + ax^{2} + b, f' = nx^{n-1} + 2ax.$$

- (1) If 2|n, we get a morphism $R \to \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(\overline{f})$
- (2) If 2|b, then we get another morphism $R \to \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2)$ Both (1) and (2) contradict the fact that R is cyclic, so b, n are odd.
- (3) Suppose there exists a p|(a,n), then there is a surjective morphism $R \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(\overline{f})$, with the right side not cyclic, so (a,n)=1.
- (4) If there exists a prime p|(a,b), we can see that $R \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^{n-1})$. But $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^{n-1})$ is not cyclic for $n \geq 3$. So it tells us that (a,b) = 1.

(5) By the argument similar to (3) of case 1, for $n\overline{f} = 2x\overline{f'}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$, we get (n-2,b)=1.

Easily we can say (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) are equivalent to (2a(n-2), nb) = 1. Conversely, If (2a(n-2), nb) = 1, by

$$nf - xf' = (n-2)ax^2 + nb, f' = 2ax + nx^{n-1},$$

We can construct a natural surjective morphism

 $\mathbb{Z}_{(2(n-2)a)} \to R$ with $x = \frac{-n}{2a} \left(\frac{-nb}{(n-2)a}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. By an argument similar to case 1, it follows that R is cyclic.

Case 3: $b = \pm 1$, then

$$f = x^{n} + ax^{l} + b$$
, $f' = nx^{n-1} + alx^{l-1}$.

- (1) If there exists a prime p|(al, n), then there is a surjective morphism $R \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(\overline{f})$, which contradicts to R is cyclic. So (al, n) = 1.
- (2) By (1), we can see (n-l,n)=1. We can see that (1) and (2) are equivalent to (l(n-l)a,nb)=1.

Conversely, we suppose (l(n-l)a,nb)=1. Because $f=x^n+ax^l+b$ and $b\in R^*$, then $x\in R^*$. Because $nf-xf'=a(n-l)x^l+bn=0\in R$ and (l(n-l)a,nb)=1, then we get $a(n-l),n\in R^*$. Using the universal property of $\mathbb{Z}_{((n-1)a)}$, we get a morphism $\varphi\colon \mathbb{Z}_{((n-1)a)}\to R$. Suppose R_0 is the image of φ . Easily we find that $x^l=\frac{-bn}{a(n-1)}\in R_0$. For (n,l)=1, therefore there exist $t,s\in \mathbb{Z}$, such that tn+sl=1. So

$$x = x^{tn}x^{sl} = (-ax^{l} - b)^{t}(x^{l})^{s}$$

which implies $x \in R_0$, and it follows that $R_0 = R$. Easily we can check that $\text{Ker}\varphi$ is not trivial, so we get R is cyclic.

We generalize the above cases to get the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. Suppose $f(x) = x^n + ax^l + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, with n > l > 0, $n \ge 3$, and $ab \ne 0$. The abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f,f')$ is cyclic if and only if both (1) and (2) hold:

- (1) |b| = 1 or $l \le 2$,
- (2) (al(n-l), nb) = 1.

The following is a theorem about the discriminant of the trinomial in [SWAN].

Theorem 6.2. Let n > l > 0, d = (n, l), and $n = n_1 d$, $l = l_1 d$. Then

$$\Delta(x^n + ax^l + b) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}b^{l-1}[n^{n_1}b^{n_1-l_1} + (-1)^{n_1+1}(n-l)^{n_1-l_1}l^{l_1}a^{n_1}]^d.$$

Using Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 6.1, we get three theorems of three diophantine equations for the above 3 cases.

Theorem 6.3. The equation

$$(X^X W^{X-1} + (1-X)^{X-1} Z^X)^2 = Y^4,$$

has no solution $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $X \geq 3$, ((X - 1)Z, XW) = 1.

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, it follows that

$$|\Delta(f)| = |n^n b^{n-1} + (-1)^{n+1} (n-1)^{n-1} a^n|,$$

where $f = x^n + ax + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n > 3, $ab \neq 0$. When (a(n-1), nb) = 1, by Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1, we get that $|\Delta(f)|$ is not a square. Then we replace n, a, b by X, Z, W respectively, and the proof is concluded. \square

Theorem 6.4. The equation

$$(\pm X^X V^{2(X-2)} + 4(X-2)^{X-2} Z^X)^2 = Y^4$$

has no solution for $X, Y, Z, V \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $X \geq 3$, (2(X-2)Z, XV) = 1.

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, it follows that

$$|\Delta(f)| = |b[n^n b^{n-2} + (-1)^{n+1} \cdot 4(n-2)^{n-2} a^n]|,$$

where $f = x^n + ax^2 + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n > 3, $ab \neq 0$. When (2a(n-2), nb) = 1, by Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1, we get that $|\Delta(f)|$ is not a square. Then we replace n, a, b by X, Z, V^2 (or $-V^2$) respectively, and the proof is concluded.

Theorem 6.5. For equation

$$((X+W)^{X+W} - (ZX)^X (\pm ZW)^W)^2 = Y^4,$$

has no solution for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbb{Z}$ with X > 0, W > 0, (XWZ, X + W) = 1.

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, it follows that

$$|\Delta(f)| = |(\pm 1)^{n-l} n^n + (-1)^{n+1} (n-l)^{n-l} l^l a^n|,$$

where $f = x^n + ax^2 \pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n > 3, $a \neq 0$. When (la(n-l), n) = 1, by Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1, we get that $|\Delta(f)|$ is not a square. Then we replace n, a, l by X + W, Z, W respectively, and the proof is concluded. \square

7 Application to Selmer's trinomial

7.1 A new version for the irreducibility of Selmer's trinomial

Theorem 7.1. ([SEL]) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, then $f_n = x^n - x - 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Proof. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is monic with nonzero constant term and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\deg f}$ are its roots with multiplicities, we define

$$S(f) = \sum \left(x_i - \frac{1}{x_i} \right) \tag{7.1}$$

As a symmetric function of the roots, S is rational, and an integer if the constant term of $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is 1 or -1. In the latter case, if f = gh, and $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then S(f) = S(g) + S(h), and $S(g), S(h) \in \mathbb{Z}$, since a rational factor of f must also have a constant term ± 1 (Gauss Lemma). Suppose $n \geq 3$, and we write f_n as the following:

$$f_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$$

and $a_{n-1} = 0$, $a_1 = -1$, $a_0 = -1$,

$$S(f_n) = \sum \left(x_i - \frac{1}{x_i}\right) = \sum x_i - \sum \frac{1}{x_i}$$

$$= -a_{n-1} - \sum \frac{x_1 \dots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \dots x_n}{x_1 \dots x_n} = 0 + \frac{a_1}{a_0} = 1.$$

Suppose x_i is any root of f_n , then we get

$$x_i + 1 = x_i^n, \ \overline{x_i} + 1 = \overline{x_i}^n. \tag{7.2}$$

Thus

$$(x_i+1)(\overline{x_i}+1) = x_i^n \overline{x_i}^n,$$

which implies

$$x_i + 1 + \overline{x_i} = x_i^n \overline{x_i}^n - x_i \overline{x_i} \begin{cases} \geqslant 0, |x_i| \geqslant 1 \\ \leqslant 0, |x_i| \leqslant 1 \end{cases}$$

so $(x_i + 1 + \overline{x_i})(1 - \frac{1}{x_i\overline{x_i}}) \ge 0$, which implies that

$$x_i - x_i^{-1} + \overline{x_i} - \overline{x_i}^{-1} = (x_i + \overline{x_i})(1 - \frac{1}{x_i \overline{x_i}}) \geqslant \frac{1}{x_i \overline{x_i}} - 1.$$

Thus for any factor g of f_n :

$$S(g) = \sum \left(x_i - \frac{1}{x_i}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum \left(\frac{1}{|x_i^2|} - 1\right)$$
 (7.3)

The sum is over all roots of g. On the other hand, the product of the modulus over the same roots must give unity: $\prod \frac{1}{|x_i^2|} = 1$. The geometric mean of all $|x_i^{-2}|$ is consequently equal to 1. Since this is always at most the arithmetic mean (again with the equality only for all $|x_i| = 1$), it follows for the sum in (1.3) that $S(g) \geq 0$. Consequently any factorization of f_n must yield the integer partition 1 = 0 + 1. The equality

$$1 = |x| = |x + 1| = |x^n|$$

only happens when $x = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$, which says that f_n is reducible can occur only for the factor $g = x^2 + x + 1$ or $\frac{f_n}{g} = x^2 + x + 1$. Easily we can see that $x^2 + x + 1$ is not a factor for f_n , and this concludes our proof.

7.2 Application

Theorem 7.2. ([JPS]) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, let S_n be n-th symmetric group and α be a root of the polynomial $f_n = x^n - x - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Suppose L is the normal closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

- (1) the group $Gal(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_n$;
- (2) the cardinality of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]^{\dagger}/\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is $n^n (1-n)^{n-1}$, and $n^n (1-n)^{n-1}$ is not a square.

Proof. It is known that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{f'_n(\alpha)}\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, so $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]^{\dagger}/\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f_n, f'_n)$ which is a cyclic abelian group by Theorem 6.1 and has cardinality $n^n - (1-n)^{n-1}$ by Theorem 6.2. So by our main theorem we conclude our proof. \square

References

- [JNK] Jürgen Neukirch, Algebraic Number Theory, 1992 Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelburg, New York.
- [OSA] Hiroyuki Osada, The Galois Groups of Polynomials $X^n + aX^l + b$, Journal of Number Theory, volume 23, 1987.
- [SEL] Ernst S. Selmer, On the Irreducibility of Certain Trinomials, Math. Scand. 4(1956), 287–302.

- [JPS] Jeans-Pierre Serre, Topics in Galois Theory, 1992 by Jones and Bartlett publisher.
- [PSH] Peter Stevenhagen, Number Rings, http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/ ~wpalenst/ant/ant.pdf
- [PSH2] Peter Stevenhagen, Local fields http://websites.math.leidenuniv.nl/algebra/localfields.pdf
- [SWAN] Richard G. Swan, Factorization of Polynomials over finite fields, Pacific Journal of Mathematics Volume 12, Number 3(1962), 1099-1106.
- [YAM] K.Yamamura, On unramified Galois extension of real quadratic number fields, Osaka J.Math. 23 (1986), 471-478.